A SOCKS-based IPv6/IPv4 Translator Architecture <draft-kitamura-socks-ipv6-trans-arch-00.txt>

Hiroshi Kitamura NEC Corporation

SOCKS64: An IPv4-IPv6 intercommunication gateway using SOCKS5 protocol

<draft-jinzaki-socks64-00.txt>

Shinji Kobayashi Fujitsu Laboratories LTD.

SOCKSv5-based IPv4-IPv6 Transition Mechanisms <u>History and Status</u>

- RFC1928 "SOCKS Protocol V5," April 1996
- Fujitsu Labs. first introduced the idea at NGTRANS, Dec.1997 http://www.ietf.org/proceedings/97dec/97dec-final-73.htm
- NEC proposed <draft-kitamura-socks-ipv6-00.txt> "SOCKSv5 Protocol Extensions for IPv6/IPv4 Communication Environment," at STP BOF, August 1998

Two Independent Implementations

Fujitsu Labs. distributes an implementation since July 1998 ftp://ftp.kame.net/pub/kame/misc/socks64-v10r3-980623.tgz

NEC has finished an implementation and is planning to open its source in January 1999 http://www.socks.nec.com/

Contents

- Basic SOCKS-based Translator Mechanism
- DNS Name Resolving Procedure
 - DNS Name Resolving Delegation and Address Mapping
- Advanced SOCKS-based Translator Mechanism
 (Multiple Chained Relay Mechanism)
- Characteristics and Constraints
- Development Status
 - Fujitsu Labs. Implementation
- Field Trial Results
 - WIDE Project Trials, Fujitsu to 6bone connection
- Comparison and Summary

Basic Translator mechanism



DNS Name Resolving Procedure (DNS *Name Resolving Delegation* and *Address Mapping*)



DNS Name Resolving Procedure (DNS Name Resolving Delegation and Address Mapping)

- 1. Try DNS name resolving with "FQDN"
- 2. Receive "fake IP"
- 3. Create connection with "socket" (includes "fake IP")
- 4. Pick up registered "FQDN" from the mapping table
- 5. Send "FQDN" to the Translator on the socksified connection
- 6. DNS name resolve by the normal DNS server
- 7. Receive "real IP"
- 8. Create connection to the Destination with "socket" (includes "real IP")

Current SOCKSv5 protocol *dose not have a dedicated handshake* for the **DNS name resolving delegation**.

<draft-kitamura-socks-ipv6-00.txt> proposed this extension.

Advanced Translator mechanism (Multiple Chained Relay)



Characteristics of Multiple Chained Relay (compared with IP Tunneling technique)

<u>No Fragmentation vulnerability</u>

- IP tunneling technique (en/decapsulation) change the packet size.
 It has Fragmentation vulnerability
- SOCKS mechanism dose **NOT** change the packet size.

• <u>No Hop limit (metric number) problem</u>

- The tunneling technique creates one virtual connections over the dynamically routed and configured networks.
 Real hop limit (metric number) information is hidden
- SOCKS mechanism is composed of real connections.
 Real hop limit (metric number) information is NOT hidden
- Well-authenticated relay by the native SOCKS methods

Topology Combinations

C === T === D IPv4 - IPv4 $\downarrow IPv4 - IPv6$ IPv6 - IPv4 IPv6 - IPv6

C === T1 === T2 ===D IPv4 - IPv4 - IPv4 IPv4 - IPv4 - IPv6 $\frac{IPv4 - IPv6 - IPv4}{IPv4 - IPv6 - IPv6}$

IPv6 - IPv4 - IPv4 → <u>IPv6 - IPv4 - IPv6</u> **IPv6 - IPv6** - IPv4 *IPv6 - IPv6 - IPv6*

Support Topologies



Advanced (Multiple Chained Relay Connection)

Implementation History in Fujitsu Labs.

- implemented the prototype on Solaris IPv6 in Aug., 1996
- first introduced the idea at NGTRANS in Dec., 1997 http://www.ietf.org/proceedings/97dec/97dec-final-73.htm
- distributes an implementation since Jul., 1998 ftp://ftp.kame.net/pub/kame/misc/socks64-v10r3-980623.tgz
- Internet-Draft in Nov., 1998

ftp://ftp.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-jinzaki-socks64-00.txt

Implementation Status

- SOCKS64: Fujitsu Lab.'s Implementation
- Runs on BSD/OS 3.1 with KAME
- SOCKS5 Client Library (e.g. SocksCap32) can be used without modification
- Freely available

ftp://ftp.kame.net/pub/kame/misc/socks64-v10r3-980623.tgz

- Support application dependent handling for ftp
 - Convert PORT and LPRT commands
 - Convert PASV and LPSV commands

Field Trial Results (1)

- 6bone Fujitsu
 - Since Jul. 1998
 - Fujitsu Network is already "socksified"
 - 20 clients

Field Trial Results (2)

- WIDE Camp
 - Sep. 1997, Mar. 1998, Sep. 1998
 - 35 clients
 - telnet, ftp, http, ssh, pop, imap3
 - Cooperation with FAITH IPv6-IPv4 Translator

Comparison

	Im p le m e n ta tio n La ye r	D N S C h a n g e	Address Table	C lie n t Lib ra ry
N AT b a s e d	Network	Needed	Needed	NOT Needed
FAITH	Application	Needed	Needed	NOT Needed
SOCKS5 based	Application	NOT Needed	NOT Needed	Needed

- "NAT based" can be fast
- "SOCKS5 based" does not require DNS change nor managing address table
- "SOCKS5 based" requires the client library

Characteristics (1/2)

• **DNS modification is NOT necessary**

- Address map servers are NOT necessary
- Global and wide reserved address space is NOT necessary
- Application independent
 - Basically support all applications which use the socket and DNS APIs
 - *Exceptions*: applications which exchange IP address information at the application level. (e.g., ftp PORT command)

• OS and NIC types independent

- Support both UNIX and Windows OSs
- Not depend on types of physical NICs.
- Only easy socksify procedure is necessary
 - Dynamic link library technique helps the socksification.
- IPv6 new features (e.g., IPSec) can be introduced easily
 - Since relayed two connections are terminated at the Translator

Characteristics (2/2)

- Current existing client SOCKSv5 library can be used
 - In case of the IPv4 -> IPv6 direction translation, current existing client SOCKSv5 library can be used without modification.
- <u>Both **TCP** and **UDP**</u> relay translations are possible.
 - Since the SOCKSv5 protocol support both TCP and UDP relays, this architecture can translate not only TCP but also UDP relays.
- Both <u>**IPv4->IPv6**</u> and <u>**IPv6->IPv4**</u> translations are possible
- Multiple chained relay is possible.
- <u>Can support FTP (IP address information exchange applications)</u>
 - The Translator has the capability to introduce protocol translation routines.
 - If protocols are known (e.g., ftp), the Translator can support them by introducing special protocol translation routines

Constraints

- Essential constraint
 - getpeername() and getsockname() functions can not provide correct IP address information. Because IPv6 and IPv4 are different protocols.
 Port information is correct.
 - Most applications call them to get <u>port information</u>.
 From the actual viewpoint, <u>this constraint is small</u>.
- Limitation of the SOCKS mechanism
 - Current SOCKSv5 can not socksify all of tricky applications.
- Fake address dealing constraint
 - The fake address must be dealt as a temporary value in the application.
 - Most applications record FQDN and <u>does not record resolved fake addres</u>
 From the actual viewpoint, <u>this constraint is small</u>.